Quality Charter School Authorizing

What is an authorizer?

- The entity that holds the contract with the charter operator and protects the public interest
- The party that ensures autonomy and accountability
- The watch dog for the state, parents and children that ensures that money is well spent and children are well served

Who Can Authorize?

- Local school boards
 - 1. Two or more school boards if the charter school is located in one of the authorizing districts
 - 2. Two or more school districts together with a CESA
- Milwaukee Public Schools (MPS), the City of Milwaukee, UW-Milwaukee, and Milwaukee Area Technical College (MATC) within the MPS area
- UW-Parkside (restricted to K-8 schools of 400 or less students)

Authorizer's Responsibilities

("Quality Authorizing: Authorizer and Charter School Accountability under NCLB," National Association of Charter School Authorizers, April, 2006)

- Encourage the creation of new quality public school options
- Determine whether an application to start and operate a charter school merits approval
- Negotiate a contract with an approved charter school that defines the specific operating terms and performance expectations for which the charter school will be held accountable
- Approve, oversee and evaluate the performance of the charter school

- Create new systems of support based on innovation and flexibility
- Conduct ongoing over sight of charter school(s) to evaluate performance, monitor compliance, and enforce contract terms
- Determine whether to renew a charter based upon the results achieved by the school

Characteristics of High Quality Authorizers

(The following material is from NACSA 's Principals and Standards for Quality Authorizing, 2007, Good Governance: Evaluating the Capacity of Charter School Founding Boards, September, 2007, and from Clayton Christianson, Joe Graba and the author)

- Make the well being of students the fundamental value informing all decision making
- Take adequate time up front to plan and develop a comprehensive and fair contract
- Know who you are contracting with and that they are prepared and capable of governing
- Live by the contract
- Approach authorizing deliberately and thoughtfully with the intent to improve the quality of public school options
- Support and advance the purposes of charter school law
- Serve as a catalyst for charter school development to satisfy unmet educational needs
- Strive for clarity, consistency and transparency in developing and implementing authorizing policies and procedures
- Become a source of accurate, intelligible, performance based information about the schools they oversee
- Take responsibility for holding schools accountable for their performance but not responsibility for the success or failure of individual schools
- Use objective and verifiable measures of student achievement as the primary measure of school quality
- Support parents and students in making decisions and staying informed about the quality of education provided in charter schools
- Hold themselves accountable for maintaining their responsibilities and commitments as listed in the charter/contract
- Draw a careful line between inadequate and intrusive oversight

Standards for Quality Authorizing

(NACSA Principals and Standards for Quality Authorizing, 2007)

- Create organizational structures and commit resources needed for effective and efficient authorizing
 - 1. Streamline and systematize work
 - 2. Define relationships and lines of authority to protect from conflicts of interest and political influence
 - 3. Secure excellent leadership and knowledgeable staff to complete their responsibilities as an authorizer
 - 4. Secure/devote sufficient resources to do an excellent job as an authorizer
- Implement a comprehensive, fair and rigorous application process designed to ensure the success of the school and students
- Negotiate contracts that clearly articulate responsibilities related to:
 - 1. Autonomy over the educational program, control of funds, management decisions, waivers
 - 2. Expected outcomes clear, measureable, attainable student achievement and organizational performance goals with rigorous performance indicators and standards for each goal
 - 3. Measures for evaluating success or failure
- Evaluation Process type and scope of academic, organizational, financial and compliance data including process and frequency for gathering and reporting data
- Performance consequences:
 - 1. Conditions when authorizer can intervene
 - 2. Reasons and process required for revocation
 - 3. Criteria and process for renewal
- Monitor legal and contract compliance (charter's and authorizer's)
 - 1. Provide clear notice of evidence and/or contract based problems
 - 2. Allow reasonable time/opportunity for remediation
 - 3. Respect the school's authority over the day to day operations
- Conduct a transparent, contractually and data based renewal process
 - 1. Articulate the criteria (in the contract)
 - Communicate options renewal, renewal with conditions, non-renewal or revocation
 - 3. Provide appeals process (in contract)
 - 4. Consider multiple sources of data
 - 5. Include parent and public in-put
 - 6. Determine an orderly process for school closings

Additional Issues:

- Authorizers must be advocates for competition
- Authorizers are inventing the system of authorizing on the go
- Authorizers must hold themselves accountable for their end of the contract
- Authorizers should include an appeals process for resolving conflicts related to the contract
- Authorizing is somewhat like a district holding a contract with a bus transportation company
- Authorizers who reach in to modify, change or take charge of the charter school
 must share or take responsibility for outcomes. A charter can only be held
 responsible for outcomes if it controls the decisions